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# RESOLUTION HOLDING HEARING ON REQUEST FROM HUBBELL REALTY COMPANY (OWNER), REPRESENTED BY CALEB SMITH (OFFICER), FOR REVIEW AND APPROVAL OF PUD FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN FOR "GRAY'S STATION TELUS CONDOS," FOR PROPERTY LOCATED IN THE VICINITY OF 1300 TUTTLE STREET 

WHEREAS, on February 20, 2023, by Roll Call No. 23-0225, the City Council received a communication from the City Plan and Zoning Commission advising that the Commission voted 8-1-1 to APPROVE a request from Hubbell Realty Company (Owner), represented by Caleb Smith (Officer), to approve the PUD Final Development Plan for "Gray's Station Telus Condos" for property located in the vicinity of 1300 Tuttle Street to allow development of the property with the construction of two condo buildings containing 84 residential units, subject to compliance with all administrative review requirements and the following:

1. Provision of a "band" of architectural concrete masonry unit materials from grade up to 2 ' for the standalone garages that matches the concrete masonry units proposed for the condo buildings.
2. Provision of a sidewalk connection from the southeastern corner of "Building 2 " that crosses the internal vehicular drive to link up with the north-south pedestrian pathway that bisects this development area.
3. Provision of more-detailed exterior façade materiality information that matches the standards outlined within the PUD Conceptual Plan.
4. Verification that all exterior façade glazing contains a minimum $50 \%$ transmittance factor and a reflectance factor of no more than .25 ; and

WHEREAS, the Property is legally described as follows:
OUTLOTS Y AND Z AND A PART OF LOTS 6, 7 AND 9, CENTRAL DES MOINES INDUSTRIAL PARK, AN OFFICIAL PLAT AND PART OF LOTS 80, 81, 82, 85 AND 86 IN FACTORY ADDITION, AN OFFICIAL PLAT, ALL BEING IN THE CITY OF DES MOINES, POLK COUNTY, IOWA AND MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

BEGINNING AT THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF SAID LOT 9, CENTRAL DES MOINES INDUSTRIAL PARK HAVING A STATE PLANE IOWA SOUTH COORDINATE VALUE OF NORTHING 575161.83 AND EASTING 1602813.57; THENCE SOUTH $89^{\circ}$ (DEGREES) $48^{\prime}$ (MINUTES) 50" (SECONDS) EAST ALONG THE NORTHERLY LINE OF SAID LOT 9, A DISTANCE OF 339.93 FEET TO THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF LOT 2, SAID CENTRAL DES MOINES INDUSTRIAL
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PARK; THENCE SOUTH 89²46'52" EAST CONTINUING ALONG SAID NORTHERLY LINE, 419.55 FEET TO THE SOUTHEAST CORNER OF SAID LOT 2 ALSO BEING A POINT ON THE WEST RIGHT OF WAY LINE OF SW 14TH STREET; THENCE SOUTH $00^{\circ} 01^{\prime} 02^{\prime \prime}$ EAST CONTINUING ALONG SAID NORTHERLY LINE, 69.98 FEET TO THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF STREET LOT B, SAID CENTRAL DES MOINES INDUSTRIAL PARK; THENCE SOUTH $89^{\circ} 35^{\prime} 21^{\prime \prime}$ EAST CONTINUING ALONG SAID NORTHERLY LINE, 70.00 FEET TO THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF LOT 8, SAID CENTRAL DES MOINES INDUSTRIAL PARK; THENCE SOUTH $00^{\circ} 08^{\prime} 06^{\prime \prime}$ WEST CONTINUING ALONG SAID NORTHERLY LINE, 423.70 FEET TO THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF SAID LOT 8; THENCE SOUTH $89^{\circ} 19^{\prime} 18^{\prime \prime}$ EAST CONTINUING ALONG SAID NORTHERLY LINE, 307.20 FEET TO THE SOUTHEAST CORNER OF SAID LOT 8, ALSO BEING THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF SAID LOT 9; THENCE NORTH $00^{\circ} 08^{\prime} 11^{\prime \prime}$ WEST ALONG THE WESTERLY LINE OF SAID LOT 7, CENTRAL DES MOINES INDUSTRIAL PARK, 333.39 FEET; THENCE NORTHERLY CONTINUING ALONG SAID WESTERLY LINE AND A CURVE CONCAVE WESTERLY WHOSE RADIUS IS 804.20 FEET, WHOSE ARC LENGTH IS 93.82 FEET AND WHOSE CHORD BEARS NORTH $03^{\circ} 29^{\prime} 07^{\prime \prime}$ WEST, 93.77 FEET; THENCE NORTH $06^{\circ} 58^{\prime} 06^{\prime \prime}$ WEST CONTINUING ALONG SAID WESTERLY LINE, 15.78 FEET TO THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF SAID LOT 7 ALSO BEING THE SOUTH RIGHT OF WAY LINE OF TUTTLE STREET; THENCE NORTH $81^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 49^{\prime \prime}$ EAST ALONG THE NORTH LINE OF SAID LOT 7, A DISTANCE OF 411.78 FEET TO THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF SAID LOT 7; THENCE NORTH $00^{\circ} 05^{\prime} 48^{\prime \prime}$ WEST ALONG THE WEST LINE OF SAID LOT 6, CENTRAL DES MOINES INDUSTRIAL PARK, 72.11 FEET TO THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF SAID LOT 6; THENCE NORTH $81^{\circ} 52^{\prime} 47^{\prime \prime}$ EAST ALONG THE NORTH LINE OF SAID LOT 6, A DISTANCE OF 347.62 FEET TO THE SOUTHEAST CORNER OF LOT 4, SAID CENTRAL DES MOINES INDUSTRIAL PARK; THENCE NORTH $00^{\circ} 31^{\prime} 45^{\prime \prime}$ WEST ALONG THE WESTERLY LINE OF SAID OUTLOT Y, CENTRAL DES MOINES INDUSTRIAL PARK, 63.43 FEET; THENCE NORTH 87º $29^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime}$ EAST CONTINUING ALONG SAID WESTERLY LINE, 10.37 FEET; THENCE NORTH $00^{\circ} 12^{\prime} 49^{\prime \prime}$ WEST CONTINUING ALONG SAID WESTERLY LINE, 554.99 FEET TO THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF LOT 5, SAID CENTRAL DES MOINES INDUSTRIAL PARK AND HAVING A STATE PLANE IOWA SOUTH COORDINATE VALUE OF NORTHING
 NORTH LINE OF SAID OUTLOT Y, 15.36 FEET TO THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF SAID OUTLOT Y; THENCE NORTH $00^{\circ} 21^{\prime} 12^{\prime \prime}$ WEST ALONG THE WEST LINE OF SAID LOT 85, FACTORY ADDITION, 8.59 FEET TO THE SOUTHERLY RIGHT OF WAY LINE OF MARTIN LUTHER KING JR PARKWAY; THENCE NORTH 86옹́ $40^{\prime \prime}$ EAST ALONG SAID SOUTHERLY RIGHT OF WAY LINE, 100.23 FEET; THENCE NORTH $84^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 04^{\prime \prime}$ EAST CONTINUING ALONG SAID SOUTHERLY RIGHT OF WAY LINE, 199.44 FEET TO THE WESTERLY RIGHT OF WAY LINE OF SW 11TH STREET; THENCE SOUTH $15^{\circ} 27^{\prime} 22^{\prime \prime}$ EAST ALONG SAID WESTERLY RIGHT OF WAY LINE,
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173.21 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 74²4'29' WEST, 99.77 FEET; THENCE SOUTH $15^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 34^{\prime \prime}$ EAST, 75.29 FEET; THENCE NORTH $74^{\circ} 32^{\prime} 27^{\prime \prime}$ EAST, 99.81 FEET TO SAID WESTERLY RIGHT OF WAY LINE; THENCE SOUTH $15^{\circ} 27^{\prime} 22^{\prime \prime}$ EAST ALONG SAID WESTERLY RIGHT OF WAY LINE, 344.37 FEET TO A CORNER ON THE EASTERLY LINE OF SAID LOT 81 ; THENCE SOUTH $39^{\circ} 15^{\prime} 32^{\prime \prime}$ WEST ALONG SAID EASTERLY LINE, 97.08 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 79²7'19" WEST, 73.56 FEET TO THE EASTERLY LINE OF THE WEST HALF OF SAID LOT 81; THENCE SOUTHERLY ALONG SAID EASTERLY LINE AND A CURVE CONCAVE EASTERLY WHOSE RADIUS IS 716.25 FEET, WHOSE ARC LENGTH IS 712.02 FEET AND WHOSE CHORD BEARS SOUTH $06^{\circ} 02^{\prime} 51^{\prime \prime}$ WEST, 683.06 FEET; THENCE SOUTH $67^{\circ} 48^{\prime} 12^{\prime \prime}$ WEST, 51.41 FEET TO A POINT ON THE EAST LINE OF THE WEST 100 FEET OF SAID LOT 80, FACTORY ADDITION; THENCE SOUTH $00^{\circ} 01^{\prime} 40^{\prime \prime}$ EAST ALONG SAID EAST LINE, 767.72 FEET; THENCE SOUTH $45^{\circ} 36^{\prime} 19^{\prime \prime}$ EAST, 692.69 FEET TO THE WESTERLY LINE OF SAID LOT 81; THENCE SOUTH 7609'29" EAST, 50.00 FEET TO SAID EASTERLY LINE OF THE WEST HALF OF LOT 81, HAVING A STATE PLANE IOWA SOUTH COORDINATE VALUE OF NORTHING 573317.97 AND EASTING 1605461.84; THENCE SOUTHERLY ALONG SAID EASTERLY LINE AND A CURVE CONCAVE WESTERLY WHOSE RADIUS IS 740.65 FEET, WHOSE ARC LENGTH IS 76.04 FEET AND WHOSE CHORD BEARS SOUTH $17^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 55^{\prime \prime}$ WEST, 76.01 FEET; THENCE NORTH $70^{\circ} 20^{\prime} 25^{\prime \prime}$ WEST, 50.00 FEET TO SAID WESTERLY LINE OF LOT 81; THENCE NORTH $45^{\circ} 36^{\prime} 19^{\prime \prime}$ WEST, 663.55 FEET TO SAID EAST LINE OF THE WEST 100 FEET OF LOT 80; THENCE SOUTH $00^{\circ} 01^{\prime} 40^{\prime \prime}$ EAST ALONG SAID EAST LINE, 439.03 FEET TO THE NORTH BANK AND MEANDER LINE OF THE RACCOON RIVER; THENCE NORTH $71^{\circ} 44^{\prime} 57^{\prime \prime}$ WEST AND ALONG SAID MEANDER LINE AND THE SOUTHERLY LINE OF SAID OUTLOT Z, CENTRAL DES MOINES INDUSTRIAL PARK, 888.50 FEET; THENCE NORTH $83^{\circ} 01^{\prime} 49^{\prime \prime}$ WEST CONTINUING ALONG SAID SOUTHERLY LINE, 463.82 FEET; THENCE NORTH $89^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 53^{\prime \prime}$ WEST CONTINUING ALONG SAID SOUTHERLY LINE, 291.24 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 8206'06" WEST CONTINUING ALONG SAID SOUTHERLY LINE, 296.82 FEET; THENCE SOUTH $72^{\circ} 02^{\prime} 02^{\prime \prime}$ WEST CONTINUING ALONG SAID SOUTHERLY LINE, 228.32 FEET TO THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF SAID OUTLOT Z; THENCE NORTH $00^{\circ} 03^{\prime} 17^{\prime \prime}$ EAST ALONG THE WEST LINE OF SAID CENTRAL DES MOINES INDUSTRIAL PARK, 1647.05 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING AND CONTAINING 83.73 ACRES (3,647,186 SQUARE FEET) AND IS SUBJECT TO ANY AND ALL EASEMENTS OF RECORD.

WHEREAS, on February 20, 2023, by Roll Call No. 23-0225, it was duly resolved by the City Council that the request for approval of the PUD Final Development Plan be set down for hearing on March 6,2023, at 5:00 p.m. at City Hall Council Chambers, 400 Robert D. Ray Dr., Des Moines, Iowa 50309; and
$\qquad$
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WHEREAS, on March 6, 2023, by Roll Call No. 23-0332, the City Council opened and further continued said hearing to 5:00 p.m. on March 27, 2023, upon request by the applicants' representative for additional review time; and

WHEREAS, due notice of said hearing was published in the Des Moines Register, as provided by law, setting forth the time and place for hearing on said proposed PUD Final Development Plan; and

WHEREAS, in accordance with said notice, those interested in said proposed PUD Final Development Plan, both for and against, have been given opportunity to be heard with respect thereto and have presented their views to the City Council.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the City Council of the City of Des Moines, Iowa, as follows:

1. Upon due consideration of the facts, and any and all statements of interested persons and arguments of counsel, any objections to the proposed "Gray's Station Telus Condos" PUD Final Development Plan are hereby overruled, and the hearing is closed.
2. The proposed Amendment to the "Gray's Station Telus Condos" PUD Final Plan, as on file in the Development Services Department, is hereby found to be in conformance with PlanDSM: Creating Our Tomorrow Plan and is hereby approved subject to compliance with all administrative review comments as well as those requirements stated above.

Moved by $\qquad$ to adopt. Second by $\qquad$
APPROVED AS TO FORM:
/s/ Chas M. Cahill
Chas M. Cahill
(SITE-2022-000152)
Assistant City Attorney

| COUNCIL ACTION | YEAS | NAYS | PASS | ABSENT |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| COWNIE |  |  |  |  |  |
| BOESEN |  |  |  |  |  |
| GATTO |  |  |  |  |  |
| MANDELBAUM |  |  |  |  |  |
| SHEUMAKER |  |  |  |  |  |
| VOSS |  |  |  |  |  |
| WESTERGAARD |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |
| MOTION CARRIED |  |  |  |  |  |

## CERTIFICATE

I, LAURA BAUMGARTNER, City Clerk of said City hereby certify that at a meeting of the City Council of said City of Des Moines, held on the above date, among other proceedings the above was adopted.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my seal the day and year first above written.

Communication from the City Plan and Zoning Commission advising that at their February 2, 2023 meeting, the following action was taken regarding a request from Hubbell Realty Company (owner), represented by Caleb Smith (officer), for the following regarding property located in the vicinity of 1300 Tuttle Street:
A) Review and approval of a $1^{\text {st }}$ amendment to the Gray's Station PUD Conceptual Plan, to update the realignment of Southwest $13^{\text {th }}$ Street and to allow administrative review of future development site plans in leu of public review by the Plan and Zoning Commission and City Council.
B) Review and approval of a PUD Final Development Plan "Gray's Station Telus Condos," to allow for the construction of two condo buildings containing 84 residential units.
C) Review and approval of a PUD Final Development Plan "Gray's Station Plat 5 Townhomes and Clubhouse," to allow for the construction of 65 townhomes and a clubhouse amenity building.

## COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION:

After public hearing, the members voted 8-1-1 as follows.

| Commission Action: | Yes | Nays | Pass | Absent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Francis Boggus |  |  |  | X |
| Dan Drendel |  |  |  |  |
| Leah Rudolphi | X | X | X |  |
| Carol Maher |  |  |  |  |
| Abby Chungath <br> Kayla Berkson | X | X |  |  |
| Chris Draper |  |  |  |  |
| Todd Garner <br> Johnny Alcivar | X |  | X |  |
| Justyn Lewis <br> Carolyn Jenison | X |  |  |  |
| William Page <br> Andrew Lorentzen <br> Emily Webb | X | X |  |  |
| Katie Gillette | X | X |  | X |

APPROVAL of Part A) Approval of the request to amend the Gray's Station PUD Conceptual Plan to update the realignment of Southwest 13th Street.

Furthermore, Staff recommends limited approval of the request to amend the Gray's Station PUD Conceptual Plan to revise the 'Notes' section to allow administrative review of future development site plans in lieu of public review by the Plan and Zoning Commission and City Council, as follows:

- Any final development plan for a new row home type development or a minor revision to an existing development is subject to administrative (by staff) review and approval.
- Any final development plan for a mixed-use building or a multiple-household residential building is subject to review and approval by the Plan and Zoning Commission, with any appeal of that decision considered by the City Council.

Part B) Approval of the proposed PUD Final Development Plan "Gray's Station Telus Condos," subject to compliance with all administrative review comments, plus the following conditions:

1. Provision of a "band" of architectural concrete masonry unit materials from grade up to 2 ' for the standalone garages that matches the concrete masonry units proposed for the condo buildings.
2. Provision of a sidewalk connection from the southeastern corner of "Building 2" that crosses the internal vehicular drive to link up with the north-south pedestrian pathway that bisects this development area.
3. Provision of more-detailed exterior façade materiality information that matches the standards outlined within the PUD Conceptual Plan.
4. Verification that all exterior façade glazing contains a minimum $50 \%$ transmittance factor and a reflectance factor of no more than .25 .

Part C) Approval of the proposed PUD Final Development Plan "Gray's Station Plat 5 Townhomes and Clubhouse," subject to compliance with all administrative review comments, plus the following conditions:

1. Provision of a sidewalk connection from the clubhouse's outdoor recreation area/dog park that crosses a private drive to link up with a proposed courtyard space in between lots " 40 " and " 41 ."
2. Verification that any proposed rooftop mechanical equipment will be screened from view from all sides.
3. Provision of additional information regarding mechanical equipment and associated screening (both ground- and roof-mounted) for the clubhouse building.
4. Provision of more-detailed materiality information for the clubhouse building that matches the standards outlined within the PUD Conceptual Plan.
5. Verification that all exterior façade glazing contains a minimum $50 \%$ transmittance factor and a reflectance factor of no more than . 25 .

## STAFF RECOMIMENDATION TO THE P\&Z COMMMISSION

Part A) Staff recommends approval of the request to amend the Gray's Station PUD Conceptual Plan to update the realignment of Southwest 13th Street.

Furthermore, Staff recommends limited approval of the request to amend the Gray's Station PUD Conceptual Plan to revise the 'Notes' section to allow administrative review of future development site plans in lieu of public review by the Plan and Zoning Commission and City Council, as follows:

- Any final development plan for a new row home type development or a minor revision to an existing development is subject to administrative (by staff) review and approval.
- Any final development plan for a mixed-use building or a multiple-household residential building is subject to review and approval by the Plan and Zoning Commission, with any appeal of that decision considered by the City Council.

Part B) Staff recommends approval of the proposed PUD Final Development Plan "Gray's Station Telus Condos," subject to compliance with all administrative review comments, plus the following conditions:

1. Provision of a "band" of architectural concrete masonry unit materials from grade up to 2 ' for the standalone garages that matches the concrete masonry units proposed for the condo buildings.
2. Provision of a sidewalk connection from the southeastern corner of "Building 2" that crosses the internal vehicular drive to link up with the north-south pedestrian pathway that bisects this development area.
3. Provision of more-detailed exterior façade materiality information that matches the standards outlined within the PUD Conceptual Plan.
4. Verification that all exterior façade glazing contains a minimum $50 \%$ transmittance factor and a reflectance factor of no more than . 25 .

Part C) Staff recommends approval of the proposed PUD Final Development Plan "Gray's Station Plat 5 Townhomes and Clubhouse," subject to compliance with all administrative review comments, plus the following conditions:

1. Provision of a sidewalk connection from the clubhouse's outdoor recreation area/dog park that crosses a private drive to link up with a proposed courtyard space in between lots " 40 " and " 41 ."
2. Verification that any proposed rooftop mechanical equipment will be screened from view from all sides.
3. Provision of additional information regarding mechanical equipment and associated screening (both ground- and roof-mounted) for the clubhouse building.
4. Provision of more-detailed materiality information for the clubhouse building that matches the standards outlined within the PUD Conceptual Plan.
5. Verification that all exterior façade glazing contains a minimum $50 \%$ transmittance factor and a reflectance factor of no more than .25 .

## STAFF REPORT TO THE PLANNING COMMISSION

## I. GENERAL INFORMATION

1. Purpose of Request: The applicant is requesting an amendment to the Gray's Station PUD Conceptual Plan, which would alter the alignment of Southwest $13^{\text {th }}$ Street between Tuttle Street and Grays Parkway. Further, the applicant is proposing to amend the PUD's 'Notes' to remove the requirement that "All Final Development Plans are subject to review and approval by the Plan \& Zoning Commission and the City Council," which would instead allow administrative review in lieu.

Additionally, the developer is seeking to subdivide the 'Gray's Station Plat 5' area within the PUD to allow the development and construction of 65 one-household residential lots containing row homes, a clubhouse amenity building, a lot containing two condo buildings with 84 residential units, and two outlots.
2. Size of Site: Gray's Station PUD - 84.4 acres; Plat 5 Area -11.1 acres.
3. Existing Zoning (site): Gray's Station PUD, Planned Unit Development District.
4. Existing Land Use (site): The area is currently undeveloped land.

## 5. Adjacent Land Use and Zoning:

North - "DX2"; Uses are Tuttle Street, open space, and vacant industrial buildings.
South - "Gray's Station PUD" \& "F"; Uses are open space, a city stormwater management facility, the Raccoon River, and Gray's Lake Park.
East - "Gray's Station PUD"; Uses are townhomes and multiple-household dwelling units.
West - "Gray's Station PUD" \& "DX2"; Uses are industrial warehousing and undeveloped land.
6. General Neighborhood/Area Land Uses: The subject property is located generally to the south of West Martin Luther King, Jr. Parkway and to the west of Southwest $11^{\text {th }}$ Street in the southern fringes of Downtown. The site's vicinity consists of mixed-use and medium-density residential development, parkland, and undeveloped parcels. The Raccoon River flanks the southern boundary of the site. Gray's Lake Park lies further south of the river.
7. Applicable Recognized Neighborhood(s): The subject property is located within the Downtown Des Moines Neighborhood Association. All neighborhood associations were notified of the public hearing by emailing of the Preliminary Agenda on January 13, 2023, and by emailing of the Final Agenda on January 27, 2023. Additionally, separate notifications of the hearing for this specific item were mailed on January 13, 2023 (20 days prior to the public hearing) and January 23, 2023 (10 days prior to the public hearing) to the Downtown Des Moines Neighborhood Association and to the primary titleholder on file with the Polk County Assessor for each property within 250 feet of the site.

All agendas and notices are mailed to the primary contact(s) designated by the recognized neighborhood association to the City of Des Moines Neighborhood Services Department on the date of the mailing. The Sheridan Gardens Neighborhood does not have a mailing address on file. The Brook Run Neighborhood Association mailings were sent to Brandon Brown, 120 Southwest $5^{\text {th }}$ Street, Unit 101, Des Moines, IA 50309.

The applicant can provide a summary of the neighborhood outreach at the public hearing.
8. Relevant Zoning History: The subject property was rezoned to "PUD" on August 14, 2017, at which time a general "PUD" Conceptual Plan was adopted.
9. PlanDSM: Creating Our Tomorrow Plan Land Use Plan Designation: Downtown Mixed Use and Neighborhood Mixed Use.
10. Applicable Regulations: Taking into consideration the criteria set forth in Chapter 18B of the lowa Code, the Commission reviews all proposals to amend zoning boundaries or regulations within the City of Des Moines. Such amendments must be in conformance with the comprehensive plan for the City and designed to meet the criteria in 414.3 of the lowa Code. The Commission may make recommendations to the City Council on conditions to be made in addition to the existing regulations so long as the subject property owner agrees to them in writing. The recommendation of the Commission will be forwarded to the City Council.
The application, accompanying evidence and "PUD" Conceptual Plan shall be considered by the Plan and Zoning commission at a public hearing. The Commission shall review the conformity of the proposed development with the standards of the City Code and with recognized principles of civic design, land use planning, and landscape architecture. After the hearing, the Commission may vote to recommend either approval or disapproval of the amended "PUD" Conceptual Plan as submitted, or to recommend that the developer amend the plan or request to preserve the intent and purpose of this chapter to promote public health, safety, morals and general welfare. The recommendations of the Commission shall be referred to the City Council.

Taking into consideration the criteria set forth in Chapter 18B of the lowa Code, the Commission shall determine if such Preliminary Plat conforms to the standards and requirements outlined in Chapter 354 of the lowa Code, and the City Subdivision Ordinance and shall approve, conditionally approve or reject such Plat within 45 days after the date of submission to the City Permit and Development Center. Unless the applicant agrees in writing to an extension of time, the Preliminary Plat shall be
deemed approved if the Commission does not act within such 45-day period. The Commission's action for approval or conditional approval shall be null and void unless the Final Plat is submitted to the City Permit and Development Center within 270 days after the date of such action; provided, however, that the Permit and Development Administrator may grant, upon written request of the applicant, up to a 90-day extension for submittal of the Final Plat to the City Permit and Development Center.

Taking into consideration the criteria set forth in Chapter 18B of the lowa Code, the Commission shall determine if such Preliminary Plat conforms to the standards and requirements outlined in Chapter 354 of the Iowa Code, and the City Subdivision Ordinance and shall approve, conditionally approve or reject such Plat within 45 days after the date of submission to the City Permit and Development Center. Unless the applicant agrees in writing to an extension of time, the Preliminary Plat shall be deemed approved if the Commission does not act within such 45-day period. The Commission's action for approval or conditional approval shall be null and void unless the Final Plat is submitted to the City Permit and Development Center within 270 days after the date of such action; provided, however, that the Permit and Development Administrator may grant, upon written request of the applicant, up to a 90-day extension for submittal of the Final Plat to the City Permit and Development Center.

Pursuant to Section 135-9.1.1.B of the Planning and Design Ordinance, the site plan review requirements of Chapter 135 are designed to ensure the orderly and harmonious development of property in a manner that shall:

- Promote the most beneficial relation between present and proposed future uses of land and the present and proposed future circulation of traffic throughout the city;
- Permit present development of property commensurate with fair and orderly planning for future development of other properties in the various areas of the city with respect to the availability and capacity, present and foreseeable, of public facilities and services. The factors to be considered in arriving at a conclusion concerning proposed present development of property shall include the following:
$>$ The maximum population density for the proposed development, the proposed density of use, and consideration of the effect the proposal will have on the capacity of existing water and sanitary sewer lines to the end that existing systems will not become overloaded or capacity so substantially decreased that site use will inhibit or preclude planned future development;
$>$ Zoning restrictions at the time of the proposal;
- The city's comprehensive plan;
- The city's plans for future construction and provision for public facilities and services; and
- The facilities and services already available to the area which will be affected by the proposed site use;
- Encourage adequate provision for surface and subsurface drainage, in order to ensure that future development and other properties in various areas of the city will not be adversely affected;
- Provide suitable screening of parking, truck loading, refuse and recycling disposal, and outdoor storage areas from adjacent residential districts;
- Encourage the preservation of canopied areas and mature trees and require mitigation for the removal of trees; and
- Consider the smart planning principles set forth in lowa Code Chapter 18B.


## II. ADDITIONAL APPLICABLE INFORMATION

1. Gray's Station PUD Conceptual Plan: If the proposed amendment to the Gray's Station "PUD" is approved by the City Council, the applicant must submit to the Planning and Urban Design Administrator a revised version of the "PUD" Conceptual Plan that reflects any conditions of approval.
2. Realignment of Southwest $13^{\text {th }}$ Street: The proposed amendment to the PUD incorporates a slight realignment of Southwest $13^{\text {th }}$ Street. The initial alignment proposed for Southwest $13^{\text {th }}$ Street was straight north-south between Tuttle Street and Grays Parkway. With the proposed realignment, the southern portion of the street curves slightly east to join Grays Parkway. The slight realignment would not change or impact the design of the PUD in any significant manner.
3. Review and Approval: Per the existing PUD Conceptual Plan, all final development plans within the PUD are currently subject to review and approval by the Plan and Zoning Commission and the City Council. The proposed amendment would eliminate the need for a Commission and Council review for future development plans and would allow administrative-only review. While an administrative review is appropriate for minor changes within the existing development plans and for row homes, a morecomprehensive review, including approval by the Plan and Zoning Commission, is necessary for larger mixed-use and multi-family residential developments. The PUD is well-established to allow developments such as row homes. However, there is some ambiguity relating to larger mixed-use and multi-family buildings which might be potentially sited in the southern portion of the PUD. Staff feels a public process is reasonably necessary to allow comprehensive review of those future development plans and other larger, mixed-use, and multiple-household residential buildings.
4. Preliminary Plat: The proposed preliminary plat would involve the creation and extension of public and private streets to serve the development. As an infill, Downtown site, the street layouts (both public and private) are proposed to complement the City street grid (in terms of both layout and construction) that already exists in this area. Murphy Street and Grays Parkway are proposed to extend to the west, with additional westward extensions of already-existing east-west private streets. A new segment of Southwest $13^{\text {th }}$ Street is proposed to be constructed from Tuttle

Street to Grays Parkway. Additional east-west private streets are proposed in the southern portion of the development.

65 one-household lots would be created for clustered, rowhome-style development. Additionally, larger lots would be created for a neighborhood "clubhouse" building and open space, as well as a lot that would contain (2) 3-story residential buildings for a total of 84 dwelling units.

All new streets would be constructed with urban cross-sections generally consistent with the construction of streets within the Gray's Station area and overall Downtown. Public utilities (sanitary sewer, water service) are proposed to be extended both within public rights-of-way and within private streets. Public storm sewer is also proposed within the development, and the area's overall stormwater management has been contemplated and accounted for with the existing Gray's Station city stormwater facility that sits to the south of this development area.

On-street and off-street pedestrian connections are proposed that would provide linkages both within this development, as well as with the Gray's Station Trail to the south and the recently-platted area to the east. The Tuttle Street Cycle Track project is proposed to be extended through the northern border of this development area. A pedestrian "Paseo" is proposed to be extended from the east and bisect the northern half of the development.
5. Development Plan - "Gray's Station Telus Condos": The Telus condos development plan consists of (2) 3-story residential buildings that would contain 84 dwelling units, as well three standalone garage buildings for motor vehicle parking.

The northern building ("Building 1 ") is proposed to frame the corner of Tuttle and Southwest $13^{\text {th }}$ Streets, with the southern building ("Building 2") proposed to frame the corner of Murphy and Southwest $13^{\text {th }}$ Streets. The aforementioned Paseo is proposed between the two buildings before intersecting with Southwest $13^{\text {th }}$ Street. A motor vehicle parking area would sit behind the proposed residential buildings. A total of 137 motor vehicle parking spaces ( 53 uncovered, 84 in garages) are proposed. 20 bicycle parking spaces are proposed adjacent to the Paseo in between the residential buildings.

Building and site design is required to conform to the design criteria set forth in the Gray's Station PUD, specifically the criteria for "High Density Residential" buildings. The buildings are proposed to be clad in a mix of brick, concrete masonry units, fiber cement board, and architectural metal paneling in a manner consistent with the material palette stipulated within the PUD Conceptual Plan. Buildings are proposed to sit abutting street-facing lot lines, with street-facing entrances. Proposed floor plans demonstrate a stacking action amongst the units, with ground floor units opening to the street, and upper-floor units with street- or internal-facing balconies.
6. Development Plan - "Gray's Station Plat 5 Row Homes and Clubhouse": The development plan for the row homes and clubhouse demonstrates 17 individual clusters of 3-5 row homes, as well as a larger area within the southern portion of the development area for the clubhouse and outdoor recreation areas. Each rowhome would sit on its own lot.

Row homes are proposed to be oriented toward either a public street or a designated pedestrian way. Rear-loading garages for motor vehicle parking are proposed for each rowhome. These garages would have space for up to 2 vehicles and are proposed to be accessed from the development's private drives that would function as alleys. Additional motor vehicle parking facilities are proposed to be either on street in a parallel fashion or off of a private drive directly abutting the clubhouse/outdoor recreation area. 20 bicycle parking spaces are demonstrated in a clumped fashion oriented around the outdoor recreation area.

The outdoor recreation area is proposed to consist of a swimming pool, dog park, pickleball courts, landscaping arrangements, and other unprogrammed open space. The clubhouse is designed as a flexible, general-use entertainment space that would open up to the pool area.

Building and individual site design is required to conform to the design criteria set forth in the Gray's Station PUD, specifically the criteria for "Low-Medium Residential" buildings. With the exception of the clubhouse, all buildings that are a part of this development plan are proposed to be at least 2 stories. Variations of 5 different rowhome "product types" are proposed to be placed in clusters throughout the area of this development plan. These product types differ in their building heights, exterior façade materials mixes, color palettes, entryway configurations, and proposed floor plans. Similar to the condo buildings, a mix of fiber cement board paneling, fiber cement board lap siding, architectural metal paneling, brick, stone, and finished concrete surfaces are proposed for the exterior of the row homes. Material placement and percentages differ by specific product type. Individual townouse clusters are positioned between approximately 5 to 12 feet from the edges of streets or other pedestrian ways. Row homes would contain stoops/porches that would orient toward their respective streets/pedestrian ways.

## SUMMARY OF DISCUSSION

Nick Tarpey presented staff report and recommendation.
Chris Draper asked how large a project would need to be before it would come before the commission if the staff recommendation is adopted.

Nick Tarpey stated multi-family or mixed-use projects. Any one household residential project would be administratively reviewed.

Chris Draper asked if there are any future concerns with connectivity to broadband.
Jason Van Essen stated the question of broadband is outside the scope of this PUD. Amendments before the commission would not have an impact.

Will Page asked if the provision "to allow administrative review of future development site plans in lieu of public review by the Plan and Zoning Commission and City Council" is applicable to this project only.

Jason Van Essen stated there is an understood development pattern for the rowhomes and that staff supports reviewing those administratively. Other new project types should
have a public hearing, but staff believes that could be limited to just the Commission, eliminating the need to go before the City Council. These amendments would take effect for future projects.

Johnny Alcivar asked if there was a threshold for rowhome development being administratively reviewed.

Jason Van Essen stated if the staff recommendation is adopted, rowhome development would only be reviewed administratively.

Chris Draper asked if there were thoughts of how different a rowhome development would need to be before its reviewed publicly.

Jason Van Essen stated within the PUD, the developer has created several different designs. City staff feels comfortable reviewing proposed changes given the existing standards within the PUD and past negotiations on previous iterations.

Carol Maher asked if that would give city staff the ability to approve type 2 deign alternatives.

Jason Van Essen stated no, the development will need to match the standards within the PUD. If city staff and the developer disagreed on those standards, it would come before the commission.

Dan Drendel asked if it would be developed under the same PUD if another developer bought out future phases.

Jason Van Essen stated it is possible for another builder to follow the standards within the current PUD. If they wanted to go a different direction, amendments to the PUD would be needed to accommodate new designs.

Chris Draper asked if the existing powerlines would be undergrounded.
Jason Van Essen stated there are a few major lines that will remain.
Caleb Smith, Hubbell Realty Company, 6900 Westown Parkway, WDM stated after a simple restriping plan for the LINC, they thought it would make sense to suggest removing the clause that requires review by the Plan and Zoning Commission and City Council. City staff has come forward with a reasonable approach given the size, style and scope of the townhomes have been well established. It does make sense for the commission to review multi-family projects due to the variety they will have. The overhead utility line is a massive transmission line that would be a multi-million dollar project and something Mid-American Energy isn't supportive of. These plans do include utility easement corridors that will provide all units with telecom communication.

Will Page asked if they could explain their thoughts of darker colors being more appropriate for urban settings.

Caleb Smith stated they learned a lot during the first phase of this development and noticed those darker colors sold better. They also have a network of builders across the country that allows them to see these types of trends.

Carol Maher asked if these are all rental units.
Calen Smith stated there are no rental properties in this phase of the project.
Carol Maher asked about parking around the multi-family development.
Ryan Hardisty, Civil Design Advantage, 4121 NW Urbandale Drive, Urbandale, IA stated the TELUS project has 84 total units with 137 parking spaces. There are 84 total garages with a few being detached.

Carol Maher asked why the parking ratio is more than 1 to 1 .
Ryan Hardisty stated given the success of the LINC project, parking issues started to occur once the building was fully rented. Based on those issues, they revamped parking for TELUS.

Carol Maher asked if a parking space is included with purchase of a unit.
Michael Bialas, Hubbell Realty Company, 6900 Westown Parkway, WDM stated the parking spaces and garages will be sold separately. If they are not sold, those spaces would be turned over to the association.

Carol Maher asked if they would offer indoor bike storage.
Michael Bialas stated that is something they are exploring. If all the garages are not sold, they would likely convert one to bike storage.

Carol Maher stated they are only focused on how to fit more cars, instead of encouraging other means of transportation. They should be more forward thinking and include adequate bike parking and EV charging stations.

Emily Webb stated she understands the need for more parking. She lives in an urban rowhouse setting and just having a garage makes it challenging for others to visit you.

Justyn Lewis stated there are parking issues around this area, but he would like to see this development promote bicycling and walkability.

Ryan Hardisty stated they are providing 20 bike parking spaces at the main entrance.
Justyn Lewis asked if that's inside the building.
Ryan Hardisty stated they're exterior, located off SW $13^{\text {th }}$ Street.
Will Page asked if they agree with staff recommendations.
Caleb Smith stated yes.

## CHAIRPERSON OPENED THE PUBLIC HEARING

Troy Hall, 2530 University Avenue stated he is generally supportive of this development and would like to continue seeing densification of downtown. He would encourage the developer to embrace architectural diversity and encourage different means of transportation.

## CHAIRPERSON CLOSED THE PUBLIC HEARING

Chris Draper stated he hopes we aren't straying away from the underlying strategies within tax abatement that would reduce parking ratios.

## COMMISSION ACTION:

Will Page made a motion for:
Part A) Approval of the request to amend the Gray's Station PUD Conceptual Plan to update the realignment of Southwest 13th Street.

Furthermore, Staff recommends limited approval of the request to amend the Gray's Station PUD Conceptual Plan to revise the 'Notes' section to allow administrative review of future development site plans in lieu of public review by the Plan and Zoning Commission and City Council, as follows:

- Any final development plan for a new row home type development or a minor revision to an existing development is subject to administrative (by staff) review and approval.
- Any final development plan for a mixed-use building or a multiple-household residential building is subject to review and approval by the Plan and Zoning Commission, with any appeal of that decision considered by the City Council.

Part B) Approval of the proposed PUD Final Development Plan "Gray's Station Telus Condos," subject to compliance with all administrative review comments, plus the following conditions:

1. Provision of a "band" of architectural concrete masonry unit materials from grade up to $2^{\prime}$ for the standalone garages that matches the concrete masonry units proposed for the condo buildings.
2. Provision of a sidewalk connection from the southeastern corner of "Building 2" that crosses the internal vehicular drive to link up with the north-south pedestrian pathway that bisects this development area.
3. Provision of more-detailed exterior façade materiality information that matches the standards outlined within the PUD Conceptual Plan.
4. Verification that all exterior façade glazing contains a minimum $50 \%$ transmittance factor and a reflectance factor of no more than .25 .

Part C) Approval of the proposed PUD Final Development Plan "Gray's Station Plat 5 Townhomes and Clubhouse," subject to compliance with all administrative review comments, plus the following conditions:

1. Provision of a sidewalk connection from the clubhouse's outdoor recreation area/dog park that crosses a private drive to link up with a proposed courtyard space in between lots " 40 " and " 41 ."
2. Verification that any proposed rooftop mechanical equipment will be screened from view from all sides.
3. Provision of additional information regarding mechanical equipment and associated screening (both ground- and roof-mounted) for the clubhouse building.
4. Provision of more-detailed materiality information for the clubhouse building that matches the standards outlined within the PUD Conceptual Plan.
5. Verification that all exterior façade glazing contains a minimum $50 \%$ transmittance factor and a reflectance factor of no more than .25 .

Motion passed: 8-1-1
Respectfully submitted,


Jason Van Essen, AICP
Planning \& Urban Design Administrator
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## DEVELOPMENT NARRATIVE:

The vision for Gray's Station is that of a vibrant and vital neighborhood where people enjoy a unique and essential combination of urban and natural amenities every day. With a focus on wellness the Gray's Station neighborhood will be built on a green framework that promotes the safe movernent of pedestrians and bicylists over the efficient movement of motorists. Physical and social connection among all people is fostered the neighborhood.

Long-time residents and those passing through on their way to Gray's Lake or Downtown Des Moines will stroll and bike along a naturalized detention area that has been engineered to clean and cool the stormwater hat falls within the watershed that encompasses the Western Gateway area of Downtown and the Neighsummer evening song of the wealth of wild life who call it home will believe it is a wetland that Gray's Station was delicately built around.
Gray's station will be known as the neighborhood with the best views in town. The golden dome of the lowa State Capitol in the morning, the verdant wetland, Raccoon River, and Gray's Lake throughout the day, and the striking Downtown Skyline at night will all contribute to this reputation. Whether wallking on foot, ridto points of interest that are both urban and natural. Whether in your first floor living room or gazing out of your home many stories above the plaza below there will always be something to catch your eye.
The abundance of walking paths, sidewalls, and bike facilities within the nelghborhood combined with the trong connections to the Downtown and Gray's Lake will ensure a constant flow of people on foot and bike. This stream of children, women, and men will ensure interactions between people of all kinds and that is as it
should be. These interactions mixed with the many positive attributes of the neighborhood will imbue Gray's station with the sense of meaning necessary to solidify it as the Place for people who want to live well in Des Moines.

## AND DEDICATION \& ANTICIPATED LONG TERM MAINTENANCE:

Enhanced Stormwater Basin

- Dedicated to City of Des Moines:
- Maintained by City of Des Moines

Raccoon River Levy and Shoreline

- Dedicated to City of Des Moines;
- Maintained by City of Des Moines.

Pedestrian Bridge Landing Area

- Dedicated to City of Des Moines;

Pedestrian Bridge Landing Plaza

- Maintained by Commercial Association.

Neighborhood Parks

- Maintained by Home Owner's Association

Neighborhood Greenways

- Maintained by Home Owner's Association.


## DESIGN GUIDELINES
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CONCEPTUALILLUSTRATIVE MASTER PLAN
OCTOBER 19, 2022

NOTES:

1. The master plan at left is for illustrative purposes only and is provided to show general, conceptual intent and character of the development. Individual parcels, building footprints, landscape design, open space design and
parking design will be determined and designed as a part parking design will be determined and designed as a part of future development plans for each phase.

## LEGEND

## $\square$ Master Plan Area

Downtown Mixed Use (MU)
$\square$ High Density Residential (HDR)
Low-Medium Density Residential (LMDR)

- Open Space / Stormwater
- 2 story minimum building height at corner lots where indicated with orange circle
Detached Urban Townhomes Subarea Oner ses permitted as per the Permitted Land Uses Chart)
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|  | Laty | AMES |  | Thavolunamasine (पu)(0) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | Mixed Use | 5.3 | 30 | 50 |
| B | HDR | 1.9 | 30 | 50 |
| c | MDR | 2.0 | 8 | 35 |
| D | MDR | 1.9 | 8 | 35 |
| E | MDR | 1.0 | 8 | 35 |
| F | MDR | 0.7 | 8 | 35 |
| G | MDR | 0.7 | 8 | 35 |
| H | HDR | 0.1 | 18 | 30 |
| 1 | MDA | 0.8 | 8 | 35 |
| $J$ | MDR | 1.2 | 7 | 22 |
| K | MDR | 1.7 | 7 | 22 |
| L | MDR | 1.6 | 8 | 35 |
| M | MDR | 1.6 | 8 | 35 |
| N | HDR | 0.4 | 18 | 30 |
| 0 | HDR | 1.2 | 40 | 55 |
| P | MDR | 1.0 | 8 | 35 |
| 0 | MDR | 1.2 | 8 | 35 |
| R | MDR | 1.1 | 8 | 35 |
| S | MDR | 0.7 | 8 | 35 |
| $T$ | MDR | 0.7 | 8 | 35 |
| U | MDR | 1.1 | 8 | 35 |
| V | MDR | 2.0 | 8 | 35 |
| W | Mixed Use | 2.0 | 30 | 50 |
| X | Mixed Use | 3.5 | 30 | 50 |
| Y | HDR | 1.0 | 50 | 70 |
| z | HDR | 2.7 | 50 | 70 |
| TOTAL |  | 399 |  |  |



Notes: 1. The assumed number of multi-family units is based on an average 1000 sf dwelling unit.


CONCEPTUAL PHASING DIAGRAM OCTOBER 19, 2022

NOTES:
Variables that will impact the anticipated phasing of the Gray's Station plan include the timing
${ }^{\text {of }}$ 1) Redevelopment of the DICO site, and 2) Infrastructure, improvements and street extensions completed by the City of Des Moines.

## LEGEND

L--. Master Plan Area

-     - Phase One Trail - Conceptual Alignment
Anticipated Phasing:
$=\mathbf{= 1}{ }^{\text {Plat 2-4 }}$
=al Plat5
Deas Plat8
masa Plat6
Plat 7
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CONCEPTUAL CIRCULATION DIAGRAM
OCTOBER 19, 2022


CONCEPTUAL STREET SECTION:LOCAL STRETS (EXCLUDING 14TH STREET)


NOTE: BUILDINGS SHOWN IN ALL SECTIONS FOR GENERAL MASSING ONLY. ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN IS YET TO BE DETERMINED. FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLANS TO BE REVIEWED AND
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NOTE: BUILDINGS SHOWN
FOR GENERAL MASSING ONLY.
ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN IS YET TO BE
DETERMINED. SUBJECT TO INDIVIDUAL
FINAL DEVELOPMENT PLANS TO BE
REVIEWED AND APPROVED BY THE
PLANNING \& ZONING COMMISSION AND
CITY COUNCIL.

OPEN SPACE CHARACTER
The open space system at Gray's Station is intended to support a diverse, ban population with a focus on wellness; opportunities for social and physical connections; and areas for connections to nature and solitude.

PEDESTRIAN BRIDGE LANDING PLAZA
The following images and conceptual programming represent the intended character and feel of the plaza area anticipated in the Gray's Station neighborhood but detailed programming and design of the park systems will be completed during later phases of the development. Final Development Plans to be reviewed by the Planning \& Zoning Commission and by City Council.

Gathering • People-Watching - Outdoor Dining • Festival Space - Bike Connectivity


ROPOSED OPEN SPACE CHARACTER IMAGERY
CTOBER 19, 2022

NEIGHBORHOOD PARKS
The following images and conceptual programming represent the intended character and feel of the neighborhood parks anticipated in the Gray's Station
neighborhood, but detailed programming and design of the park systems will b completed during later phases of the development. Final Development Plans to be reviewed by the Planning \& Zoning Commission and by City Council.
Dog Walking • Playing - Learning - Picnicking • Gathering - Community Gardening • Community Space


GREENWAYS
The following images and conceptual programming represent the intended character and feel of the greenways anticipated in the Gray's Station
character and feel of the greenways anticipated in the Gray's Station
neighborhood, but detailed programming and design of the park systems will completed during later phases of the development. Final Development Plans to be reviewed by the Planning \& Zoning Commission and by City Council.
Connecting - Dog Walking - Playing - Biking - Neighborhood Gatherings


ENHANCED STORMWATER DETENTION BASINS The following images and conceptual programming represent the intended character and feel of the enhanced regional stormwater detention basins and design of the park systems will be completed during later phases of the development. Final Development Plans to be reviewed by the Planning \& Zoning Commission and by City Council.
Restoring - Connecting - Learning - Unpaved Trails - Signage - Seating
whit probosed enhanced detention basins will provide an attractive, educational water feature with public access and improved water quality wilhin the Raccoon River. It is proposed to have
sufficient volume to meet the water qualify volume (Wav) requirements for the proposed Gray's Station development and have eerough volume to adddress the 100 -year storm event for the
upstream watershed areas under full buildout conditions.

- The East Detention Basin area, formerly known as DART pond, is off-site, but is being planned for improvements concurrently with this redevelopment area. WQv requirements for pool and marsh zones of the basin. - The West Detention Basin a area has sufficient volume to meet WQv requirements for areas within the proposed development through permanent pool storage in the pool and marsh zones of the basin.
Permanent and well
Permanent and well-maintained temporary access will be provided to the stormwater pump
station at all times and coordinated with the City of Des Moines to ensure operation and maintenance of the station.
A new securily fence will be constructed around the stornwater pump station.
Though its primary purpose is to improve water quality, the enhanced detention basins also serve as a place to enhance the health of the community and to offer educational opportunities for the larger communily. At a Master Plan level, the area features paved and unpaved trails,
welland overlook areas, and seating areas. The proposed inclusion of interpretive signage and welland overlook areas, and seating areas. The proposed inclusion of interpretive signage and
art would allow people of all ages to understand the role of the area for the region. The use of micro-grading for the basins allows the area to be carefully shaped. This shaping breaks down the scale of the regional detention, creates places that are enjoyable, and removes the



## PRECEDENT HOUSING CHARACTER IMAGERY

 As a large neighborhood, the proposed housing character for Gray's Station is intended to be diverse and urban in form/character. The following images represent the intended character and feel of the multi-family and mixed-use residential housing types. The design of the buildings including architectural details, materials, final massing/heights and colors will be completed during later phases of the development. Final Development Plans to be reviewed by the Planning \& Zoning Commission and by City Council.Each character image represents varied features as represented by the following categories that correspond to the colors under each image:

Relationship to exterior open space (plaza, park, roof deck, etc.)
Relationship to street
Relationship to alley

- Building articulation/massing
- Architectural character

Landscape character

DOWNTOWN MIXED USE (NE CORNER)


DOWNTOWN MIXED USE (BRIDGE LANDING)


MULTI-FAMILY / MEDIUM-HIGH DENSITY
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PRECEDENT HOUSING CHARACTER IMAGERY
As a large neighborhood, the proposed housing character for Gray's Station is intended to be diverse and urban in form/character. The following images represent the intended character and feel of the medium density housing types. The design of the buildings including architectural details, materials, final massing/heights and colors will be completed during later phases of the develop and by City Council

Each character image represents varied features as represented by the following categories that correspond to the colors under each image:

Relationship to exterior open space (plaza, park, roof deck, etc.)
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DOWNTOWN MIXED USE - PROTOTYPICAL BUILDING

1. Primary facades of mixed-use and commercial structures shall be primarily parallel to the public right-of-way except in the subareas of $W$ and $X$.
2. Buildings shall have entrances oriented toward primary street(s) and public plaza(s)
3. At least one building entrance for residential uses should directly access the street or public plaza when a residential use is located above street-level retail or commercial uses.
4. All buildings should have frontage on principal street(s), public plaza, park or greenway of not less than 70 percent of the lot (with exceptions as outlined in the Design Guldelines).
5. For commercial and mixed-use buildings, at least 70 percent of the building frontage should be within one foot of the property line (with exceptions as outlined in the Design Guidelines).
6. Facades of above grade structured parking (e.g., podium parking beneath commercial or residential uses) adjacent to any public right-of-way shall be architecturally and aesthetically consistent with the remainder of the building they support.
7. Minimum height for all Downtown Mixed Use buildings shall be four stories.
8. All Downtown Mixed Use buildings shall have a parapet or flat roof. Tower elements are allowed.


HIGH DENSITY RESIDENTIAL - PROTOTYPICAL BUILDING

1. For residential buildings, a maximum setback of 15 feet from the public right-of-way is permitted unless constrained by utility easements.
2. Buildings shall have entrances oriented toward primary street(s) and public plaza(s).
3. All buildings should have frontage on principal street(s), public plaza, park or greenway of not less than 70 percent of the lot (with exceptions as outlined in the Design Guidelines).
4. Facades of above grade structured parking (e.g, podium parking beneath commercial or residential uses) adjacent to any public right-of-way shall be architecturally and aesthetically consistent with the remainder of the building they support.
5. Minimum height for all uses shall be as follows:
a) Three stories for Subareas $\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$, and O
b) Eight stories for Subareas $Y$ and $Z$
6. All Downtown Mixed Use buildings shall have a parapet or flat roof. Tower elements are allowed.


MEDIUM DENSITY RESIDENTIAL - PROTOTYPICAL MULTI-UNIT DWELLING UNIT

1. For all residential buildings, a maximum setback of 15 feet from the public right-of-way is permitted unless constrained by utility easements.
2. Attached and Detached residential buildings shall have side-yard building separation(s) (i.e., building face to building face) that are at minimum 5 feet and at maximum 10 feet except where walking paths occur between buildings.
a. Where walking paths occur between buildings the maximum width between buildings shall increase above the maximum separation by the width of the walking path.
3. Greenways shall include pedestrian pathways with a minimum width of $5^{\prime}$
4. Buildings shall have entrances oriented toward primary street(s), public plaza(s), parks, greenways or alleys.
5. All garages shall be oriented to an alley.
6. All buildings should have frontage on principal street(s), public plaza, park, greenways or alleys of not less than 70 percent of the lot (with exceptions as outlined in the Design Guidelines).


MEDIUM DENSITY RESIDENTIAL - PROTOTYPICAL DETACHED FORM (WITH FOURPLEX)

1. For all residential buildings, a maximum setback of 15 feet from the public right-of-way is permitted unless constrained by utility easements.
2. Attached and Detached residential buildings shall have side-yard building separation(s) (i.e., building face to building face) that are at minimum 5 feet and at maximum 10 feet except where walking paths occur between buildings.
a. Where walking paths occur between buildings the maximum width between buildings shall increase above the maximum separation by the width of the walking path
3. Greenways shall include pedestrian pathways with a minimum width of $5^{\prime}$
4. Buildings shall have entrances oriented toward primary street(s), public plaza(s), parks, greenways or alleys.
5. All garages shall be oriented to an alley.
6. Accessory Dwelling Units shall be allowed.
7. All buildings should have frontage on principal street(s), public plaza, park, greenways or alleys of not less than 70 percent of the lot (with exceptions as outlined in the Design Guidelines).


MEDIUM DENSITY RESIDENTIAL - PROTOTYPICAL ATTACHED FORM

1. For all residential buildings, a maximum setback of 15 feet from the public right-of-way is permitted unless constrained by utility easements.
2. Attached and Detached residential buildings shall have side-yard building separation(s) (i.e., building face to building face) that are at minimum 5 feet and at maximum 10 feet except where walking paths occur between buildings.
a. Where walking paths occur between buildings the maximum width between buildings shall increase above the maximum separation by the width of the walking path.
3. Greenways shall include pedestrian pathways with a minimum width of $5^{\prime}$
4. Buildings shall have entrances oriented toward primary street(s), public plaza(s), parks, greenways or alleys.
5. All garages shall be oriented to an alley.
6. Accessory Dwelling Units shall be allowed.
7. All buildings should have frontage on principal street(s), public plaza, park, greenways or alleys of not less than 70 percent of the lot (with exceptions as outlined in the Design Guidelines).


GREENWAY - PROTOTYPICAL FORM
Green connections are encouraged to minimize impact of storm water, provide urban pathways for wildife, and promote recreation, wellness, and pedestrian scale mobility.

1. Greenways shall maintain an approximate width of $40^{\prime}$ to $50^{\prime}$. Exceptions may occur where infrastructure requirements necessitate and will be reviewed with the Final Development Plan.
2. Greenways shall include landscaping.
3. Greenways shall include pedestrian pathways with a minimum width of 5 ! Pathway location may vary depending on context
4. Greenways may include structural (e.g., Pergola, Shade Structure, Community Garden Features, etc.) and/or artistic elements where deemed appropriate by the developer.
5. Greenways may include stormwater quality areas, where appropriate
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|  |  | morotummatisurey |  | Tremertumer | anta | morocamutimersm |  |
|  |  |  | 哭 | 䌙： |  |  | 照 |
| miomekemanemer | 2mastrom | morotumanazamza |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | บäg |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \％mmit | 椄妾 | 姳 | 悬 |  |  |  |  |
| м |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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(3) GARAGE DOOR (10 STALL) ELEVATION

(4) GARAGE (10 STALL) ELEVATION $\qquad$


NOSNOWIS


## PRELIMINARY PLAT / DEVELOPMENT PLAN FOR: GRAMMS STATMON PLAT



OWNER

APPLICANT

ENGINEER
Cin orsag ivivac
気
SURVEYOR


DATE OF SURVEY
00805/2022
BENCHMARKS


SUBMITTAL DATES
Sccons muanits



ZONING
GRAYS STATON PVO - ZON2017-00087
EXISTING/PROPOSED USE sostiva use underacem
Proposed use resoentul

TAX ABATEMENT - SUSTAINABILITY
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NEW FRONT ELEVATION


OLD FRONT ELEVATION

## Elevation Updates in Phase II

In Phase 2 we are proposing the same Product types/units as were approved for Phase 1. The floorplans for each unit type will remain the same. We made some revisions to the Elevations and Exterior Color Packages as we felt that they needed to be updated for Phase Il. Some of the elevations didn't have enough intentiona variations and the color packages were too light in color for an urban neighborhood.

The following pages show the new and the old front elevations of each product type and a description of the changes.

## Product 1

The desire was to create a darker color package for this Product and add some variation between the units.

- Increased visual interest through varying heights of the entry element clad in metal panels.
- Revised the color scheme and made it darker to achieve a more urban look.

PRODUCT 1 | COMPARISON
COLOR PACKAGE: Product 1—\#1
GRAY'S STATION | 07.07.2022 | 3


Product 3
This elevation looked too busy and had too many design themes and variations.

- Revised vertical elements to all be the same color and revised material to dark metal panels with a vertical pattern.
- The cube-shaped building elements were revised from Hardie lap siding/stone to all stone, to unify the overall building


OLD FRONT ELEVATION
PRODUCT 3 | COMPARISON
COLOR PACKAGE: Product 3-\#1
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## NEW FRONT ELEVATION

## Product 4

This elevation was too busy and the color scheme too light for this urban environment.

- Changed to a darker color scheme to achieve a more urban look.
- Changed Hardie lap siding on front to metal panels.
- Eliminated the white-colored Hardie panels and matched the
panel colb on both sides to achieve a unified appearance.
Steel metal posts will be painted dark gray.


OLD FRONT ELEVATION


## NEW FRONT ELEVATION



## Product 4

This elevation was too busy and the color scheme too light for this
urban environment.

- Changed to a darker color scheme to achieve a more urban
- Eliminated the white-colored Hardie panels and matched the
panel color on both sides to achieve a unified appearance.
- Steel metal posts will be painted dark gray.

OLD FRONT ELEVATION


NEW FRONT ELEVATION


OLD FRONT ELEVATION

Product 5
Elevations appear repetitive and uninspired. We only built one Product 5 building in Phase 1 so far. It was built without the $3^{\text {rd }} \mathrm{lev}$ el optional bonus room. We intend to build the bonus room on
Product 5 in Phase 2.

- Varied the height of the brick to create diverse building elements and to reduce the effect of the stark vertical building elements.
- Replaced the Hardie panels of one of the main building ele ments with metal panels.
Black windows


NEW FRONT ELEVATION
Product 6
Elevation appeared boring and repetitive. These needed additional visual interest and a more urban look.

- Varied the height of the brick/stone to create diverse building elements and to reduce the repetitive effect of the vertical building elements.
- Introduced building elements sided in metal panels with a vertical pattern.
- Replaced the Hardie panels of one or more of the main building elements with metal panels (horizontal pattem).
- Black windows
- Darkened the color packages

PRODUCT 6 | COMPARISON
COLOR PACKAGE: Product 6—\#1
GRAY'S STATION | 07.07.2022 | 8


OLD FRONT ELEVATION


NEW FRONT ELEVATION
Product 6
Elevation appeared boring and repetitive. These needed


OLD FRONT ELEVATION


FIRST FLOOR


SECOND FLOOR


THIRD FLOOR

## PRODUCT 1 | PRESTON

Lots: 61-63 and 64-66


FIRST FLOOR


SECOND FLOOR


LOWER LEVEL


FIRST FLOOR


SECOND FLOOR

PRODUCT 4 | MAISON
Lots: 16\&19, 20\&23, 24\&27 and 52\&56
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LOWER LEVEL


FIRST FLOOR


SECOND FLOOR

PRODUCT 4 I MARLOW
Lots: $17 \& 18,21 \& 22,25 \& 26$, and $53-55$
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FIRST FLOOR


SECOND FLOOR


THIRD FLOOR


FIRST FLOOR


SECOND FLOOR


THIRD FLOOR

PRODUCT 5 | ASANA Lots: 47 and 50<br>GRAY'S STATION | 07.07.2022 | 50



FIRST FLOOR


SECOND FLOOR


THIRD FLOOR

## PRODUCT 6 | GRAYSON

Lots: $1 \& 3,4 \& 7,8 \& 11,12 \& 15,29 \& 32,33 \& 36,37 \& 40$, and $41 \& 45$
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FIRST FLOOR


SECOND FLOOR


THIRD FLOOR

Lots: $2,5,9,13,30,34,38$ and $42 \& 44$
GRAY'S STATION | 07.07.2022 | 52


FIRST FLOOR


SECOND FLOOR


THIRD FLOOR



(1)

SOUTH ELEVATION
$1 / 1 \mathrm{~B}^{\circ}=1 \cdot 0^{10}$


[^0]
(1) WEST ELEVATION

(2) $\frac{\text { EAST ELEVATION }}{1 / 18^{\circ}=1.1^{\circ}}$
$118^{\circ}=1 \cdot 0^{\circ}$


[^0]:    (2)

    NORTH ELEVATION

